

## APPEAL RESULT

---

**DISTRIBUTION:** Chief Executive  
Group Integrity Services  
Group Racing and Group Racing Development  
ARB, ATA, TVN, VJA  
Office of Racing  
T Moxon – National Drug Register  
Racing Press

**FROM:** Registrar – Racing Appeals and Disciplinary Board

**DATE:** 24 September 2014

**SUBJECT:** **APPEAL HEARING RESULT – STABLE EMPLOYEE: ALISON SADLER**

---

**Panel** Judge Russell Lewis (Chair), Mr Stephen Curtain, Mr Geoff Ellis.

**Appearances** Ms Sadler appeared on her own behalf.  
Mr Corie Waller appeared on behalf of the Stewards.

---

At a Steward's inquiry on Thursday, 11 September 2014, stable employee Alison Sadler was found guilty of a charge under the provisions of AR 81A(b) for failing to provide a urine sample when directed to do so by the Stewards.

The charge relating to a direction made at Ballarat Turf Club trackwork on 9 September 2014.

Ms Sadler had her permit to ride trackwork suspended for a period of 3 months, commencing at midnight on 10 September 2014. Ms Sadler must also provide a clear urine sample prior to riding again.

A Notice of Appeal against **the decision and severity of the penalty** was lodged on Saturday, 13 September 2014.

A stay of proceedings was not requested.

---

**DECISION:** **Appeal dismissed.**  
**Penalty to remain standing.**

**TRANSCRIPT OF  
PROCEEDINGS**

---

**RACING APPEALS AND DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

**HIS HONOUR JUDGE R.P.L. LEWIS, Chairman  
MR S. CURTAIN  
MR G. ELLIS**

**EXTRACT OF PROCEEDINGS**

**DECISION**

**STABLE EMPLOYEE: ALISON SADLER**

**MELBOURNE**

**WEDNESDAY, 24 SEPTEMBER 2014**

MR C. WALLER appeared on behalf of the RVL Stewards

MS A. SADLER appeared on her own behalf

---

CHAIRMAN: The Board is satisfied that a proper request was made to Ms Sadler to provide a sample. The Board is satisfied that the appellant had the capacity and opportunity to provide the sample but failed to do so. In the circumstances, the appeal against conviction is dismissed.

The board has listened carefully to the submissions made by Ms Sadler on the question of penalty and has come to the conclusion that there is nothing in what she has said which would lead the Board to consider a reduction in the penalty imposed by the Stewards which the Board believes was well within range.

Accordingly, the appeal against penalty is dismissed.

---