



## APPEAL RESULT

---

**DISTRIBUTION:** Chief Executive  
Group Integrity Services  
Group Racing and Group Racing Development  
VJA  
TVN  
Office of Racing  
T Moxon – National Drug Register  
Racing Press

**FROM:** Registrar – Racing Appeals and Disciplinary Board

**DATE:** 7 October 2013

**SUBJECT:** **APPEAL HEARING RESULT – JOCKEY: CRAIG WILLIAMS**

---

**Panel** Judge Russell Lewis (Chair), Mr Geoff Ellis, Mr Jeremy Rosenthal.

**Appearances** Mr Williams appeared on his own behalf.  
Mr Terry Bailey appeared on behalf of the Stewards.

---

At Flemington on Saturday 5 October 2013, jockey Craig Williams pleaded guilty to a charge of careless riding on his mount *Anatina* in Race 4 the *Cap D'Antibes Stakes* (Listed, 1000m).

The careless riding being that near the 50m he permitted his mount to shift in whilst riding it along when insufficiently clear of *Holy Cow* which had to be checked.

Craig Williams had his licence to ride in races suspended for a period to commence at midnight on Saturday, 5 October 2013 and to expire at midnight on Monday, 14 October 2013 - a total of 10 race meetings (3 metropolitan, 7 provincial).

In assessing penalty Stewards took into account the carelessness was in the mid-range and C Williams's guilty plea and excellent record.

A Notice of Appeal against **the severity of the penalty** was lodged on Sunday, 6 October 2013.

---

**DECISION:** **Appeal dismissed. Penalty to remain standing.**

**TRANSCRIPT OF  
PROCEEDINGS**

---

**RACING APPEALS AND DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

**HIS HONOUR JUDGE R.P.L. LEWIS, Chairman  
MR G. ELLIS  
MR J. ROSENTHAL**

**EXTRACT OF PROCEEDINGS**

**DECISION**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE CAP D'ANTIBES STAKES (LISTED)  
OVER 1000 METRES AT FLEMINGTON ON 5/10/13**

**JOCKEY: CRAIG WILLIAMS**

**MELBOURNE**

**MONDAY, 7 OCTOBER 2013**

MR T. BAILEY appeared on behalf of the RVL Stewards

MR C. WILLIAMS appeared on his own behalf

---

CHAIRMAN: In this appeal, the Board is satisfied that there was no contribution by Glen Boss's mount to the interference which, in the Board's view, was significant enough to justify a medium-range characterisation. The discounts applied by the Stewards were appropriate in the circumstances and, accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

---