

APPEAL RESULT

DISTRIBUTION: Chief Executive
Group Integrity Services
Group Racing and Group Racing Development
ARB, ATA, TVN, VJA
Office of Racing
T Moxon – National Drug Register
Racing Press

FROM: Registrar – Racing Appeals and Disciplinary Board

DATE: 26 August 2014

SUBJECT: **APPEAL HEARING RESULT – JOCKEY: RYAN MALONEY**

Panel Judge Russell Lewis (Chair), Mr Stephen Curtain, Mr Darren McGee.

Appearances Mr Maloney appeared on his own behalf.
Mr Corie Waller appeared on behalf of the Stewards.

At Seymour on Thursday 21 August 2014, jockey Ryan Maloney pleaded guilty to a charge of careless riding on his mount *Monarch's Reign* in Race 1 the *United Insurance Day September 11 Maiden Plate* (2015m).

The careless riding being that he permitted his mount to shift inwards passing the winning post on the first occasion when insufficiently clear of *Campin (NZ)* which had to be checked by its rider.

Mr Maloney had his licence to ride in races suspended for a period to commence at midnight on Thursday, 21 August 2014 and to expire at midnight Sunday, 31 August 2014 - a total of 10 race meetings (3 metro, 7 provincial).

In assessing penalty Stewards deemed the incident to be in the mid range and took into account his guilty plea, record, evidence and the significant engagement he will miss in this period.

A Notice of Appeal against **the severity of the penalty** was lodged on Friday, 22 August 2014. A stay of proceedings was not requested.

DECISION: **Appeal dismissed.**
Penalty to remain standing.

**TRANSCRIPT OF
PROCEEDINGS**

RACING APPEALS AND DISCIPLINARY BOARD

**HIS HONOUR JUDGE R.P.L. LEWIS, Chairman
MR S. CURTAIN
MR D. McGEE**

EXTRACT OF PROCEEDINGS

DECISION

**IN THE MATTER OF THE UNITED INSURANCE DAY
SEPTEMBER 11 MAIDEN PLATE OVER 2015 METRES
AT SEYMOUR ON 21/8/14**

JOCKEY: RYAN MALONEY

MELBOURNE

TUESDAY, 26 AUGUST 2014

MR C. WALLER appeared on behalf of the RVL Stewards

MR R. MALONEY appeared on his own behalf

CHAIRMAN: The Board feel that this appeal borders on the trivial. We regard it clearly as a mid-range case and, indeed, the 10-meeting suspension we think is generous so far as the Stewards are concerned.

As we are of the opinion that it was mid-range, the rest follows, so the appeal must be dismissed and the penalty stands.
