

APPEAL RESULT

DISTRIBUTION: Chief Executive
Group Integrity Services
Group Racing and Group Racing Development
ARB, ATA, TVN, VJA
Office of Racing
T Moxon – National Drug Register
Racing Press

FROM: Acting Registrar – Racing Appeals and Disciplinary Board

DATE: Friday 12 June 2015

SUBJECT: **APPEAL HEARING RESULT – JOCKEY DANIEL STACKHOUSE**

Panel Judge Russell Lewis (Chair), Mr Brian Forrest (Deputy Chair), Mr Darren McGee

Appearances John Didham appeared on behalf of Daniel Stackhouse.
James Hitchcock appeared on behalf of the Stewards.

At Racing.com Park on Tuesday, 9 June 2015 jockey Daniel Stackhouse was found guilty of a charge of careless riding on his mount *Mawby* in Race 8 the *Obrien Real Estate Pakenham Handicap* (1380m).

The careless riding being that approaching the 1000m he carelessly permitted his mount to shift in when insufficiently clear of *Show em Jack*, which had to be restrained and lost its rightful racing position.

Daniel Stackhouse had his licence to ride in races suspended for a period to commence at midnight on Thursday, 11 June 2015 and to expire at midnight on Saturday, 20 June 2015 – a total of 9 race meetings (2 metropolitan, 7 country).

In assessing the penalty Stewards took into account the incident was in the low range.

A Notice of Appeal against **the decision and severity of the penalty** was lodged on Thursday, 11 June 2015.

A stay of proceedings was not required.

DECISION: **Appeal dismissed. Penalty to remain standing.**

**TRANSCRIPT OF
PROCEEDINGS**

RACING APPEALS AND DISCIPLINARY BOARD

**HIS HONOUR JUDGE R.P.L. LEWIS, Chairman
MR B. FORREST, Deputy Chairman
MR D. McGEE**

EXTRACT OF PROCEEDINGS

DECISION

**IN THE MATTER OF THE O'BRIEN REAL ESTATE PAKENHAM
HANDICAP OVER 1380 METRES AT PAKENHAM SYNTHETIC
ON 9/6/15**

JOCKEY: DANIEL STACKHOUSE

MELBOURNE

FRIDAY, 12 JUNE 2015

MR J. HITCHCOCK appeared on behalf of the RVL Stewards

MR J. DIDHAM appeared on behalf of the Appellant

CHAIRMAN: In the Board's view, the films clearly show that from the time Daniel Stackhouse had his first look and the time he looked for the second time, he was on an inward course. Benbow appreciated this and was forced to take hold because Stackhouse was continuing to shift in when insufficiently clear.

Accordingly, the Board is satisfied that Daniel Stackhouse was careless and the appeal against conviction is dismissed. The appeal against penalty is also dismissed and the penalty stands.
